



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/024,278	02/17/1998	FREDERICK S M HERZ	6099/008	8139	
7:	590 07/02/2003				
MELVIN A. HUNN			EXAMINER		
HILL & HUNN 201 MAIN STI	•		TRAN, HAI V		
SUITE 1440 FORT WORTH	ł, TX 76102		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2611		
			DATE MAILED: 07/02/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1.16			
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/024,278	HERZ ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	- 1
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Hai Tran	2611	rocc
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe		•	r u SS
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper repict places the application.	cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	-		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adviewent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);	
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without cancelNOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	d amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se	r reconsideration has been cons e Continuation Sheet.	sidered but does NC	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 15 and 17-43.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	proved by the Exam	niner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	•	
10. Other:	(Aules	
	A	NDREW FAILE	
S. Patent and Trademark Office	SUPERVISO	RY PATENT EXAMIN	ER
	sory Action TECHNO	LOGY, CENTER 12600	

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The terminal disclaimer filed on 05/22/2003 has been accepted and recorded. However, Applicant fails to address to the 35USC 102(e) rejection of the Office Action dated on 11/19/2002.